

1 **Rapid species identification and epidemiological analysis of carbapenem-resistant**

2 *Acinetobacter* spp. by a PCR-based open reading frame typing method

3

4 **Running title:** Rapid epidemiological analysis for *Acinetobacter*

5 **Contents Category: Clinical microbiology and virology**

6

7 Yuki Yamada¹, Kentaro Endo¹, Kaori Sawase¹, Marie Anetai¹, Kazuya Narita¹, Yuji Hatakeyama¹,

8 Katsunori Ishifuji¹, Makiko Kurota¹, and Akira Suwabe²

9 1) Division of Central Clinical Laboratory, Iwate Medical University Hospital, Morioka, Japan

10 2) Department of Laboratory Medicine, Iwate Medical University School of Medicine, Morioka,

11 Japan

12

13 Correspondence author

14 Yuki Yamada

15 Division of Central Clinical Laboratory, Iwate Medical University Hospital, Morioka, Japan

16 19-1 Uchimaru, Morioka 020-8505, Japan

17 Tel.: 81-19-651-5111, Fax: 81-19-624-5030

18 E-mail: yuyamada@iwate-med.ac.jp

19 **Summary**

20 The spread of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp. has become a global problem. In this study,
21 18 carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-baumannii* (ACB) complexes, identified using
22 a conventional biochemical method at our hospital during 2004 – 2013, were studied for species
23 identification and epidemiological analyses. Species identification was performed using
24 matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization – time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS), a
25 partial sequence analysis of *rpoB* and a PCR-based open reading frame typing (POT) method. The
26 POT method can not only identify the species of ACB complexes but can also simultaneously
27 determine the international epidemic clones and their genetic identities of *A. baumannii* in several
28 hours. Carbapenem resistance gene detection by PCR, molecular epidemiological analysis by
29 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), and Pasteur Institute multi-locus sequence typing (MLST)
30 analysis were performed. All three methods identified 18 isolates as *A. baumannii* ($n=10$), *A. pittii*
31 ($n=4$), and *A. nosocomialis* ($n=4$). A metallo β -lactamase gene in all strains of *A. pittii* and *A.*
32 *nosocomialis* and an *ISAbal* gene in the upstream of the *bla*_{OXA-51-like} gene in eight strains of *A.*
33 *baumannii* were detected respectively as carbapenemase-related genes. Results from PFGE
34 demonstrated that nine strains of *A. baumannii* were closely related genetically. Results of MLST
35 analysis showed that *A. baumannii* are classifiable to a sequence type 2. These results were
36 consistent with those obtained using POT method. This POT method can easily and rapidly identify

37 the international epidemic clones and the identities of *A. baumannii*. It can be a useful tool for
38 infection control.

39

40 **Key words:** carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp., matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization –
41 time of flight mass spectrometry, PCR-based open reading frame typing

42

43 **Introduction**

44 *Acinetobacter* spp. are important pathogens causing ventilator-associated pneumonias, bloodstream
45 infections, and wound infections in patients with critical illness. Recently, hospital-acquired
46 infection caused by multidrug-resistant *A. baumannii* showing resistance to antibiotic agents such as
47 carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides has become a global problem (Peleg *et al.*,
48 2008; Perez *et al.*, 2007). Particularly the epidemic-type lineages of *A. baumannii* international
49 clones I and II reportedly show multidrug resistance and cause hospital outbreaks (Diancourt *et al.*,
50 2010). Therefore, it is apparently important to identify the types of the epidemiologic clones of *A.*
51 *baumannii* in hospital-acquired infection control. The prevalence of carbapenem-resistant
52 *Acinetobacter* spp. among *Acinetobacter* spp. has been reported as lower in Japan (3.6%) (Japan
53 Nosocomial Infections Surveillance, <http://www.nih-janis.jp/report/kensa.html>), but increasing
54 worldwide, by 34.4% in the USA (Master *et al.*, 2013), 90.8% in China (Xu *et al.*, 2013), 58.7% in
55 Taiwan (Kou *et al.*, 2012), and 41.4% in Korea (Park *et al.*, 2012).
56 The mechanisms of carbapenem resistance of *A. baumannii* have been explained by production of
57 plasmid-encoded carbapenemases such as OXA-carbapenemases and metallo β -lactamases and also
58 by increased production of chromosome-encoded carbapenemases as a result of insertion of IS*Abal*
59 into the upstream region of a *bla*_{OXA-51-like} gene, thereby providing a strong promoter. Aside from
60 carbapenemases, acquired mechanisms such as decreased expression of outer membrane proteins

61 (OMPs), overexpression of multidrug efflux pumps, and alteration in the affinity of
62 penicillin-binding proteins have been reported (Dijkshoorn *et al.*, 2007). The loss of a 29-kDa OMP
63 known as *CarO* is also reportedly associated with carbapenem resistance (Peleg *et al.*, 2008). An
64 AdeABC gene, which was chromosomally encoded as a resistance-nodulation-division family type
65 efflux pump, was also reportedly involved in multidrug resistance (Vila *et al.*, 2007).

66 Genotyping methods, such as multi-locus sequence typing (MLST), in order to identify international
67 epidemic clones of the *A. baumannii* are not performed easily in common clinical laboratories.

68 However, the PCR-based open-reading-frame (ORF) typing (POT) method is a molecular typing
69 method using multiplex PCR without performing nucleotide sequencing analyses of multiple genes
70 as with MLST. The Cica GeneusTM Acineto POT KIT (Kanto Chemical Co. Inc., Tokyo, Japan) can
71 identify the species of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus-baumannii* (ACB) complex. Moreover, it can
72 determine the international epidemic clones and the genetic identities of the species identified as *A.*
73 *baumannii*. This method is applicable to common clinical laboratories because all the results can be
74 obtained easily and rapidly (Suzuki *et al.*, 2014). In the present study, we investigated the strains,
75 antimicrobial susceptibilities, and molecular biological characteristics of a carbapenem-resistant
76 ACB complex, which is identified in a conventional biochemical method. Then we compared them
77 with the results obtained using a Cica GeneusTM Acineto POT KIT.

78

79 **Methods**

80 **Bacterial isolates**

81 This study examined 18 isolates of a carbapenem-resistant ACB complex from the clinical isolates in
82 the period during 2004–2013. Biochemical identification of the isolates was accomplished using
83 a panel (Neg Com 6.12J; Beckman Coulter Inc., CA, USA) and a MicroScan WalkAway-96 *SI*
84 system (Beckman Coulter Inc.). Species identification was confirmed from a partial sequence
85 analysis of *rpoB* gene (La Scola *et al.*, 2006). Species identification was confirmed using
86 matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization – time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS).
87 The sample was applied directly on a target plate of the stainless steel. Then
88 α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix solution was added. After drying, it was measured using
89 software with a library (MALDI Biotyper 3.1 ver.4.0.0.1 with 5,627 entries; Bruker Daltonics GmbH,
90 Bremen, Germany). The reliability score value recommended by a manufacturer was used for
91 species identification with scores of >2.000.

92

93 **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

94 The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined using a microdilution broth
95 method based on the recommendation by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).
96 Commercially available dry plates for antibiotic susceptibility tests were purchased from Eiken

97 Chemical Co. Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan). Susceptibilities to the antibiotics were based on the CLSI criteria
98 (CLSI M100-S22). Antimicrobial agents tested in this study were the following: imipenem (IPM),
99 meropenem (MEPM), sulbactam/ampicillin (SBT/ABPC), amikacin (AMK), and ciprofloxacin
100 (CPFX).

101

102 **DNA amplification analysis**

103 The carbapenem resistance genes and their associated upstream Insertion sequence (IS) elements,
104 including *bla*_{IMP-1}, *bla*_{IPM-2}, *bla*_{VIM-2}, *bla*_{OXA-51-like}, *bla*_{OXA-23-like}, *bla*_{OXA-24-like} and *bla*_{OXA-58-like},
105 *ISAbal* and *ISAbal3*-like, were detected under the same PCR conditions with the same primers
106 described previously (Poire *et al.*, 2006, Shibata *et al.*, 2003, Turton *et al.*, 2006). The insertion of
107 *ISAbal* into the *carO* gene was confirmed as previously described (Lee *et al.*, 2011; Lu *et al.*, 2009).

108

109 **PCR-based ORF Typing (POT) method**

110 The POT method was performed using a commercially available Cica GeneusTM Acineto POT KIT
111 (Kanto Chemical Co. Inc.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The several ORFs specific
112 to the certain strains of *Acinetobacter* spp. were detected using multiplex PCR. The distribution
113 patterns of the ORFs were calculated from three categories of the POT codes. The first category of
114 the POT code indicates the species identification such as < 1000 for *A. baumannii*, 1000–1999 for *A.*
115 *pittii*, 2000–2999 for *A. nosocomialis*, 3000–3999 for *Acinetobacter* species close to *A. nosocomialis*,

116 and 4000 and more for the other *Acinetobacter* spp. Furthermore, the first category of the POT code
117 denotes the types of international clones, for example, No. 69 for international clone I, No. 122 for
118 international clone II. If the identified species are *A. baumannii* and also if they are obtained from an
119 outbreak, all three categories of POT code will be the same (Suzuki *et al.*, 2014).

120

121 **Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) analysis**

122 Chromosomal DNAs were digested with *ApaI* restriction enzyme (Takara Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan).
123 DNA fragments were electrophoresed in 1% agarose gel in a 0.5 × Tris-borate-EDTA buffer
124 with GenePath® systems (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) at 14 °C and 6 V/cm for 18.5 hr
125 with the initial and final pulse times of 1.0–17.0 s. The banding pattern was interpreted according
126 to the methods described by Tenover *et al.* (1995).

127

128 **Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) analysis**

129 MLST analysis was performed according to the protocol of the Institute Pasteur's MLST schemes
130 (<http://www.pasteur.fr/recherche/genopole/PF8/mlst/Abaumannii.html>). Among the isolates
131 showing the different PFGE pattern, Nos. 9, 10, and 15 were chosen as representatives for MLST
132 analysis.

133

134 **Result**

135 **Species identification**

136 Eighteen isolates of ACB complex were identified as *A. baumannii* ($n=10$), *A. pittii* ($n=4$), and *A.*
137 *nosocomialis* ($n=4$). All three methods (MALDI-TOF MS, POT method, *rpoB* analysis) yielded the
138 same results. MALDI-TOF MS yielded score values of > 2.000 for all isolates (data not shown).

139

140 **DNA amplification analysis**

141 Three strains of *A. pittii* and four strains of *A. nosocomialis* were positive for a *bla*_{IMP-1} gene. These
142 seven isolates were positive for a *bla*_{OXA-58-like} gene and also for an *ISAb_a3*-like gene in its upstream
143 region. One isolate of *A. pittii* was positive for a *bla*_{VIP-2} gene. Ten isolates of *A. baumannii* were
144 positive only for a *bla*_{OXA-51-like} gene. Eight of those strains were positive for an *ISAb_a1* gene in their
145 upstream region. The *carO* gene encoding OMPs was positive in all *A. baumannii*. However, a PCR
146 amplicon for the *carO* gene that had approximate size of 1,700 bp, which was larger than the
147 expected size of 750 bp, was obtained from four isolates (Nos. 10, 12, 14, 16) (Table 1).

148

149 **Antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

150 All isolates were resistant to MEPM. Eight isolates of *A. pittii* and *A. nosocomialis* were resistant to
151 IPM, but eight isolates of *A. baumannii* showed MIC range at 4–8 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Six isolates of *A. pittii*

152 and *A. nosocomialis* were susceptible to SBT/ABPC. Ten isolates of *A. baumannii* were
153 multidrug-resistant strains exhibiting resistance to carbapenems, aminoglycosides, and
154 fluoroquinolones (Table 1).

155

156 **Molecular epidemiology analysis**

157 *A. baumannii* were classified into three types (types A, B1, B2) by two major types (A and B) and
158 two subtypes (B1 and B2) using PFGE analysis. Nine isolates of *A. baumannii* (Nos. 10–18) were
159 demonstrated to be close mutually related clones based on the Tenover criteria. The POT method
160 classified *A. baumannii* in three types. This result agreed with those of PFGE analysis. The MLST
161 analysis for each representative isolate (Nos. 9, 10, 15) from three different types (types A, B1, B2)
162 demonstrated that all these isolates belonged to sequence type 2 (ST 2). The POT codes 1 of ten
163 isolates of *A. baumannii* were all No. 122 (Table 2, Fig. 1).

164

165 **Discussion**

166 In this study, carbapenem-resistant ACB complexes were identified to *A. baumannii*, *A. pittii*, and *A.*
167 *nosocomialis*. The identification results obtained using three methods (MALDI-TOF MS, POT
168 method, and *rpoB* analysis) were in agreement with each other. Furthermore, *A. baumannii* and non-
169 *A. baumannii* were different in the carrying resistance genes and also in the antimicrobial resistance

170 profiles. In most hospital laboratories, the *Acinetobacter* spp. identified using conventional
171 biochemical methods are reported as ACB complex. Precise identification such as *A. baumannii*, *A.*
172 *calcoaceticus*, *A. pittii*, and *A. nosocomialis* is difficult to perform. However, this identification of
173 ACB complex is required because the *Acinetobacter* spp. display different antimicrobial resistance
174 profiles depending on their species. Therefore, molecular biological methods such as *rpoB* sequence
175 analysis are necessary for accurate identification. The consistent ratios of species identification
176 between an MALDI-TOF MS and an *rpoB* analysis were reported by Kishii *et al.* (2014) with 72.4%
177 (89/123 strains) and by Hsueh PR *et al.* (2014) with 86.0% (246/286 strains). In this study, 18
178 isolates identified using MALDI-TOF MS were completely consistent with those identified using
179 *rpoB* analysis, although the samples were quite few. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the
180 MALDI-TOFMS is able to identify the species rapidly and precisely. Moreover, the results provide
181 useful data for choosing antimicrobial therapies and infection control policies.

182 No plasmid-related OXA β -lactamase was detected in 10 isolates of *A. baumannii*. In addition,
183 IS*Aba1* in the upstream of the OXA-51-like gene was identified in eight strains of *A. baumannii*, but
184 not in two isolates (Nos. 11, 12). We previously reported that resistance to carbapenem in No. 11
185 strain resulted from increased expressions of a *bla*_{ADC} gene and an efflux pump system (*adeB*) gene
186 (Yamada *et al.*, 2013) and also reported that resistance to carbapenem in No. 12 was caused by a loss
187 of *carO* OMPs. However, metallo- β -lactamases genes were negative in 10 isolates of *A. baumannii*

188 and were positive in eight strains of non-*A. baumannii*. These results agreed with the report showing
189 that metallo- β -lactamase producing *A. baumannii* was rare (Zarrilli *et al.*, 2013) and also with the
190 report that *A. baumannii* and non-*A. baumannii* differed in the carrying resistance genes (Kouyama
191 *et al.*, 2012; Matsui *et al.*, 2014). These reports and our results underscore the extreme importance of
192 clarifying resistance mechanisms when planning therapeutic strategies and infection control.

193 Antimicrobial susceptibility test results showed that isolates of *A. baumannii* were
194 multidrug-resistant (resistance to carbapenems, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides), and these
195 strains showed lower MIC levels to IPM (4-8 μ g/mL). Eight strains of non-*A. baumannii* showed
196 higher MIC levels to IPM and MEPM, probably caused by MBLs, which had a 100–1000-fold
197 higher carbapenem-hydrolyzing activity than OXA type carbapenemases (Zarrilli *et al.*, 2013),
198 although they showed lower MIC levels to SBT/ABPC and CPF. These results were apparently
199 consistent with those reported by Lee *et al.* (2007).

200 The PFGE analysis classified *A. baumannii* into three types by two major types (types A and B) and
201 two subtypes (types B1 and B2). Nine strains of *A. baumannii* (Nos. 10–18) belonging to subtype B1
202 and B2 were interpreted as "closely related clones" based on criteria presented by Tenover *et al.*
203 (1995). On the other hand, these strains were also classified into two types (122-26-55 and
204 122-26-53) using POT method. Based on the manufacturer's instructions, the different POT codes
205 are interpreted as different clones. However, it was suggested that they might be related clones in

206 consideration of the result of PFGE analysis and clinical course: they were obtained from the same
207 outbreak. Pasteur's MLST analysis classified three representative strains (Nos. 9, 10, 15) of *A.*
208 *baumannii* as ST2, belonging to an international clone II. A POT method using all ten strains of *A.*
209 *baumannii* also demonstrated the result suggesting an international clone II. Because rapid detection
210 of the appearance and international clones of *A. baumannii* of their kind are important in hospital
211 infection controls, an easier POT method was substituted for a more complicated MLST method.
212 Although we demonstrated the usefulness of POT analysis in epidemiological analysis of
213 carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* spp., the several limitations need to be considered in interpreting
214 our results. First, the number of the samples was quite small (n=18), because we studied the samples
215 from a single institution. These are indeed limitations of this study. Secondly, only three types of the
216 ACB complex isolates were subjected and the other types of *Acinetobacter* spp. were not
217 investigated. Thirdly, all *A. baumannii* strains belonged to the same MLST type ST2. Since it is
218 difficult to increase the number and the kinds of the samples in a single institution, a multicenter
219 study is expected to be necessary to evaluate the usefulness of the POT method.

220 In conclusion, the species of *Acinetobacter* spp. determined as ACB complex in most clinical
221 laboratories are identifiable precisely using mass spectrometry, *rpoB* analysis, and POT analysis.
222 Results obtained from POT analysis were presented to demonstrate that it can identify an
223 international epidemic clone of *A. baumannii* and their identities easily and rapidly. This method is

224 regarded as a useful tool for infection control measures.

225

226 Acknowledgments

227 We are grateful to Dr. M. Suzuki, Laboratory of Bacteriology, Aichi Prefectural Institute of Public

228 Health, Nagoya, Japan, for helpful advice. This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant

229 Number H1600621.

230

231 **References**

- 232 1. **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2012)**. Performance standards for antimicrobial
233 susceptibility testing; twenty-third informational supplement. M100-S22. Wayne, PA, USA.
234 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- 235 2. **Diancourt, L., Passet, V., Nemec, A., Dijkshoorn, L. & Brisse, S. (2010)**. The population
236 structure of *Acinetobacter baumannii*: expanding multiresistant clones from an ancestral
237 susceptible genetic pool. *PLoS One* **5**, e10034.
- 238 3. **Dijkshoorn, L., Nemec, A. & Seifert, H. (2007)**. An increasing threat in hospitals:
239 multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **5**, 939-951.
- 240 4. **Hsueh, P. R., Kuo, L. C., Chang, T. C., Lee, T. F., Teng, S. H., Chuang, Y. C., Teng, L. J. &**
241 **Sheng, W. H. (2014)**. Evaluation of the Bruker Biotype matrix-assisted laser desorption
242 ionization – time of flight mass spectrometry system for identification of blood isolates of
243 *Acinetobacter* species. *J Clin Microbiol* **52**, 3095-3100.
- 244 5. **Kishii, K., Kikuchi, K., Matsuda, N., Yoshida, A., Okuzumi, K., Uetera, Y., Yasuhara, H. &**
245 **Moriya, K. (2014)**. Evaluation of matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight
246 mass spectrometry for species identification of *Acinetobacter* strains isolated from blood
247 cultures. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **20**, 424-430.
- 248 6. **Kouyama, Y., Harada, S., Ishii, Y., Saga, T., Yoshizumi, A., Tateda, K. & Yamaguchi, K.**

- 249 (2012). Molecular characterization of carbapenem-non-susceptible *Acinetobacter* spp. in Japan:
250 predominance of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clonal complex 92 and IMP-type
251 metallo- β -lactamase-producing non-*baumannii* *Acinetobacter* species. *J Infect Chemother* **18**,
252 522-528.
- 253 7. **Kuo, S. C., Chang, S. C., Wang, H. Y., Lai, J. F., Chen, P. C., Shiau, Y. R., Huang, I. W.,**
254 **Lauderdale, T. L. & TSAR Hospitals. (2012).** Emergence of extensively drug-resistant
255 *Acinetobacter baumannii* complex over 10 years: nationwide data from the Taiwan Surveillance
256 of Antimicrobial Resistance (TSAR) program. *BMC Infect Dis* **12**, 200.
- 257 8. **La Scola, B., Gundi, V. A. K. B., Khamis, A. & Raoult, D. (2006).** Sequencing of the *rpoB*
258 gene and flanking spacers for molecular identification of *Acinetobacter* species. *J Clin*
259 *Microbiol* **44**, 827-832.
- 260 9. **Lee, J. H., Choi, C. H., Kang, H. Y., Lee, J. Y., Kim, J., Lee, Y. C., Seol, S. Y., Cho, D. T.,**
261 **Kim, K. W. & other authors (2007).** Differences in phenotypic and genotypic traits against
262 antimicrobial agents between *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Acinetobacter* genomic species
263 13TU. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **59**, 633-639.
- 264 10. **Lee, Y., Kim, C. K., Lee, H., Jeong, S. H., Yong, D. & Lee, K. (2011).** A novel insertion
265 sequence, IS*Aba10*, inserted into IS*Aba1* adjacent to the *bla*_{OXA-23} gene and disrupting the outer
266 membrane protein gene *carO* in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **55**,

267 361-363.

268 11. **Lu, P. L., Doumith, M., Livermore, D. M., Chen, T. P. & Woodford, N. (2009).** Diversity of
269 carbapenem resistance mechanisms in *Acinetobacter baumannii* from a Taiwan hospital: spread
270 of plasmid-borne OXA-72 carbapenemase. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **63**, 641-647.

271 12. **Master, R. N., Deane, J., Opiela, C. & Sahm, D. F. (2013).** Recent trends in resistance to cell
272 envelope-active antibacterial agents among key bacterial pathogens. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **1277**,
273 1-7.

274 13. **Matsui, M., Suzuki S., Yamane, K., Suzuki, M., Konda, T., Arakawa, Y. & Shibayama, K.**
275 **(2014).** Distribution of carbapenem resistance determinants among epidemic and non-epidemic
276 types of *Acinetobacter* species in Japan. *J Med Microbiol* **63**, 870-877.

277 14. **Park, Y. K., Jung, S. I., Park, K. H., Kim, D. H., Choi, J. Y., Kim, S. H. & Ko, K. S. (2012).**
278 Changes in antimicrobial susceptibility and major clones of *Acinetobacter*
279 *calcoaceticus-baumannii* complex isolates from a single hospital in Korea over 7 years. *J Med*
280 *Microbiol* **61**, 71-79.

281 15. **Peleg, A. Y., Seifert, H. & Paterson, D. L. (2008).** *Acinetobacter baumannii*: emergence of a
282 successful pathogen. *Clin Microbiol Rev* **21**, 538-582.

283 16. **Perez, F., Hujer, A. M., Hujer, K. M., Decker, B. K., Rather, P. N. & Bonomo, R. A. (2007).**
284 Global challenge of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *Antimicrob Agents*

285 *Chemother* **51**, 3471-3484.

286 17. **Poirel, L. & Nordmann, P. (2006).** Genetic structures at the origin of acquisition and
287 expression of the carbapenem-hydrolyzing oxacillinase gene *bla*_{OXA-58} in *Acinetobacter*
288 *baumannii*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **50**, 1442-1448.

289 18. **Shibata, N., Doi, Y., Yamane, K., Yagi, T., Kurokawa, H., Shibayama, K., Kato, H., Kai, K.**
290 **& Arakawa, Y. (2003).** PCR typing of genetic determinants for metallo- β -lactamases and
291 integrases carried by gram-negative bacteria isolated in Japan, with focus on the class 3
292 integron. *J Clin Microbiol* **41**, 5407-5413.

293 19. **Suzuki, M., Hosoba, E., Matsui, M. & Arakawa, Y. (2014).** New PCR-based open reading
294 frame typing method for easy, rapid, and reliable identification of *Acinetobacter baumannii*
295 international epidemic clones without performing multilocus sequence typing. *J Clin Microbiol*
296 **52**, 2925-2932.

297 20. **Tenover, F. C., Arbeit, R. D., Goering, R. V., Mickelsen, P. A., Murray, B. E., Persing, D. H.**
298 **& Swaminathan, B. (1995).** Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by
299 pulsed-field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol* **33**,
300 2233-2239.

301 21. **Turton, J. F., Ward, M. E., Woodford, N., Kaufmann, M. E., Pike, R., Livermore, D. M. &**
302 **Pitt, T. L. (2006).** The role of *ISAbal* in expression of OXA carbapenemase genes in

- 303 *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **258**, 72-77.
- 304 22. Vila, J., Martí, S. & Sánchez-Céspedes, J. (2007). Porins, efflux pumps and multidrug
305 resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **59**, 1210-1215.
- 306 23. Xu, T., Xia, W., Rong, G., Pan, S., Huang, P. & Gu, B. (2013). A 4-year surveillance of
307 antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a university-affiliated hospital
308 in China. *J Thorac Dis* **5**, 506-512.
- 309 24. Yamada, Y. & Suwabe, A. (2013). Diverse carbapenem-resistance mechanisms in 16S rRNA
310 methylase-producing *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Med Microbiol.* **62**, 618-622.
- 311 25. Zarrilli, R., Pournaras, S., Giannouli, M. & Tsakris, A. (2013). Global evolution of
312 multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* clonal lineages. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **41**, 11-19.

Table 1. Characterizations of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter* species

No.	MALDI-TOF MS / <i>rpoB</i>	POT code	Carbapenemase gene					<i>carO</i>	MIC (mg/L)				
			MBL	OXA	IS <i>Aba1</i> - <i>bla</i> _{OXA-51}	IS <i>Aba3</i> - <i>bla</i> _{OXA-58}			IPM	MEPM	SBT/ABPC	AMK	CPFX
1	<i>A. pittii</i>	1078-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	8/16 (I)	> 32 (R)	0.06 (S)	
2	<i>A. nosocomialis</i>	2105-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	≤ 2/4 (S)	> 32 (R)	0.5 (S)	
3	<i>A. nosocomialis</i>	2105-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	≤ 2/4 (S)	> 32 (R)	0.25 (S)	
4	<i>A. pittii</i>	1066-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	≤ 2/4 (S)	16 (S)	0.12 (S)	
5	<i>A. pittii</i>	1066-0-0	VIM-2	-	NT	NT	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	4/8 (S)	16 (S)	0.12 (S)	
6	<i>A. nosocomialis</i>	2105-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	4/8 (S)	> 32 (R)	0.12 (S)	
7	<i>A. nosocomialis</i>	2105-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	0.12 (S)	
8	<i>A. pittii</i>	1078-0-0	IMP-1	OXA-58	NT	+	NT	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	4/8 (S)	> 32 (R)	0.25 (S)	
9	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-54	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Intact	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	≤ 2/4 (S)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
10	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Lost	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
11	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	-	NT	Intact	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
12	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	-	NT	Lost	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	8/16 (I)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
13	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Intact	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
14	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Lost	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	8/16 (I)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
15	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-53	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Intact	4 (S)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
16	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Lost	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
17	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Intact	> 8 (R)	> 8 (R)	>8/16 (R)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	
18	<i>A. baumannii</i>	122-26-55	-	OXA-51	+	NT	Intact	8 (I)	> 8 (R)	8/16 (I)	> 32 (R)	> 2 (R)	

MALDI-TOF MS, matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization – time of flight mass spectrometry; POT, PCR-based open-reading-frame typing; MBL, metallo-β-lactamase; IPM, imipenem; MEPM, meropenem; SBT/ABPC, sulbactam/ampicillin; AMK, amikacin; CPFX, ciprofloxacin; OXA-51, OXA-51-like; OXA58, OXA-58-like; NT, not tested.

Table 2. Epidemiology analysis of PFGE, POT and MLST in *A. baumannii*

No.	Date of isolation	PFGE	POT code	MLST Pasteur's
9	2010/9	A	122-26-54	ST-2
10	2011/1	B1	122-26-55	ST-2
11	2011/2	B1	122-26-55	NT
12	2012/6	B1	122-26-55	NT
13	2012/10	B1	122-26-55	NT
14	2012/10	B1	122-26-55	NT
15	2012/11	B2	122-26-53	ST2
16	2013/2	B1	122-26-55	NT
17	2013/2	B1	122-26-55	NT
18	2013/3	B1	122-26-55	NT

PFGE, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis; POT, PCR-based open-reading-frame typing; MLST, multi-locus sequence typing.

Figure legend

Fig 1. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis profile of *Apa* I- digested genomic DNA in *A. baumannii*

M, molecular-weight standard

